

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/806,568	07/30/2001	Wataru Morikawa	20-48418	2810
2292	7590 11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STI	EWART KOLASCH	HARRIS, ALANA M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1643	•

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/806,568	MORIKAWA ET AL.		
Office I	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643		
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CTATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. In specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI			
Status					
2a)⊠ This action i 3)□ Since this a	to communication(s) filed on 19 Acts s FINAL. 2b) This oplication is in condition for allowar cordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claim	•				
4a) Of the all 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	3-11, 13-15 and 17 is/are pending in pove claim(s) 5-10,13 and 14 is/are is/are allowed.  4,4,11,15 and 17 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)⊡ The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examiner (s) filed on is/are: a) acce or not request that any objection to the order drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
·	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 09/806,568

Art Unit: 1643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments and Amendments

1. Claims 1, 3-11, 13-15 and 17 are pending.

Claims 5-10, 13 and 14, drawn to non-elected inventions are withdrawn from examination.

Claims 2 and 16 have been cancelled.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 15 and 17 are examined on the merits.

- 2. Applicants are reminded that claim 16 has been cancelled and not amended as suggested in the Status of the Claims section of the Remarks submitted August 19, 2005, see page 6.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Maintained Grounds of Rejection

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained. Claims 2 and 16 have been cancelled. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Application/Control Number: 09/806,568

Art Unit: 1643

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants reiterate the features of the claimed invention, as well as submit Evidence A and Evidence B in aid of obviating the instant rejection. Applicants cite case law and surmise one of skill in the art would have the scientific literature at hand, such as the evidence submitted and realize and understand the scope of what is claimed. The evidence has been carefully reviewed, as well as the arguments, but found unpersuasive.

The claims continue to broadly state an aspartic enzyme that produces plasma protein fragments having an inhibitory activity of metastasis and growth of cancer. At first glance of the claims it is clear the claimed product is not isolated, nor described by any particular structure. And while the Examiner concurs with the Applicants in that they have listed that the N-terminus of the enzyme (SEQ ID NO: 1), remiss from the specification and the claims is information regarding the remaining residues, i.e. carboxy terminus. Applicants have not provided sufficient evidence that they were in possession of the wide breadth of proteins that could be regarded as an aspartic enzyme able to produce protein fragments. The simple statement of function for the claimed protein does not full the written description requirement. There is insufficient information provided substantiating Applicants are in possession of the plethora of aspartic enzymes, which the Applicants' claim.

Applicants are not entitled, nor does the specification evidence the possession of all aspartic enzymes that produce plasma protein fragments. Applicants are not

Application/Control Number: 09/806,568

Art Unit: 1643

permitted to claim the enumerable proteins simply referenced as aspartic enzymes that degrade or produce plasma proteins and have a N-terminal amino acid sequence of LVRIPLHKFT (SEQ ID NO: 1). Accordingly, Applicants are not entitled to the wide breadth of the claims at issue and the rejection is maintained.

This is insufficient to support the generic claims as provided by the Interim Written Description Guidelines published in the June 15, 1998 Federal Register at Volume 63, Number 114, pages 32639-32645.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 15 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gately et al. (Cancer Research 56: 4887-4890, November 1, 1996/ IDS reference on sheet 1) is maintained. Claims 2 and 16 have been cancelled.

Applicants continue to vigorously argue that the enzyme of Gately is distinct from the claimed invention because "it is definitely true that Gately et al could not discover or isolate the aspartic enzyme of the present invention"., see bridging paragraph of pages 11 and 12 of the Remarks. Applicants state case law, which allegedly supports their position and point out passages within the Gately reference that purportedly teach away from the claimed invention. These arguments and points of view have been carefully considered, but found unpersuasive.

As noted in the 112, first paragraph rejection the claimed invention does not read on an isolated product and assuming arguendo Applicants' claims read on such,

Gately's supernatant containing the enzyme was separated from the cell culture source

Art Unit: 1643

thereby inherently isolated from the said source. Gately's factor derived from PC-3 would in fact contain the claimed enzyme. Unless the PC-3 cells of Gately were different from the PC-3 cells of Applicants the disclosed enzyme is one in the same as Applicants. And while Gately seemingly did not perform the proteinase inhibitor assay at the same pH as Applicants this does not teach away from the simple fact that enzyme of Gately contained in the PC-3 supernatant is the enzyme of Applicants.

Based on the analysis set forth and in the previous Action the prior art discloses the claimed invention and the inherent features necessarily flow from the said art and the rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/806,568

Art Unit: 1643

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0831. The examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. 14 November 2005